2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEVON CHRISTOPHER WENGER,

Defendant.

Case No. <u>23-cr-00269-JSW-3</u>

ORDER REGARDING COURT'S INTENDED PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS

White

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: The Court HEREBY ADVISES the parties of the Court's intended preliminary jury instructions. The parties shall file any objections to the Court's intended preliminary jury instructions, including the order in which they are to be given, by July 14, 2025.

# IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 30, 2025

United States District Judge

Cor	ntents	
STI	PULATED STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
1.	DUTY OF JURY	4
2.	THE CHARGE – PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE	5
3.	WHAT IS EVIDENCE	6
4.	WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE	7
5.	DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE	8
6.	RULING ON OBJECTIONS	9
7.	CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES	10
8.	CONDUCT OF THE JURY	12
9.	NO TRANSCRIPT AVAILABLE TO JURY	14
10.	TAKING NOTES	15
11.	QUESTIONS TO WITNESSES BY JURORS DURING TRIAL	16
12.	BENCH CONFERENCES AND RECESSES	17
13.	OUTLINE OF TRIAL	18

United States District Court Northern District of California

## STIPULATED STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a criminal case brought by the United States government against defendant Devon Wenger. Defendant Wenger is charged with conspiring with other law enforcement officers to violate the civil rights of individuals, in or near Antioch, California, through the use of unreasonable force. Defendant Wenger is also charged with an individual violation of civil rights through his use of unreasonable force. During the relevant time period, Defendant Wenger was a police officer with the Antioch Police Department.

Specifically, the government alleges that, Defendant Wenger took part in a conspiracy with Morteza Amiri, Eric Rombough, and other law enforcement officers that lasted from at least 2019 to 2022 to use unreasonable force against individuals in or near Antioch, California. The government alleges that, as part of the conspiracy, the defendant agreed with his co-conspirators to use unreasonable force; communicated about using unreasonable force with his co-conspirators; and encouraged his co-conspirators to use unreasonable force, including as punishment; among other things. The government also alleges that Defendant Wenger used unreasonable force on D.S. on or about October 26, 2021.

These are only allegations. Defendant Wenger has denied the charges and pleaded not guilty. Defendant Wenger is presumed innocent of all charges. The United States government must prove each charge against Defendant Wenger beyond a reasonable doubt.

## 1. DUTY OF JURY

Jurors: You now are the jury in this case, and I want to take a few minutes to tell you something about your duties as jurors and to give you some preliminary instructions. At the end of the trial I will give you more detailed instructions that will control your deliberations.

When you deliberate, it will be your duty to weigh and to evaluate all the evidence received in the case and, in that process, to decide the facts. To the facts as you find them, you will apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law before you.

Perform these duties fairly and impartially. You should not be influenced by any person's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, or economic circumstances. Also, do not allow yourself to be influenced by personal likes or dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, public opinion, or biases.

United States District Court Northern District of California

# 2. THE CHARGE – PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE

This is a criminal case brought by the United States government. The government charges the defendant with Conspiracy Against Rights in violation of Section 241 of Title 18 of the United States Code and Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law in violation of Section 242 of Title 18 of the United States Code. The charges against the defendant are contained in the indictment. The indictment simply describes the charges the government brings against the defendant. The indictment is not evidence and does not prove anything.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charges and is presumed innocent unless and until the government proves the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. In addition, the defendant has the right to remain silent and never has to prove innocence or present any evidence.

# 3. WHAT IS EVIDENCE

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:

First, the sworn testimony of any witness; 

Second, the exhibits that are received in evidence; and

Third, any facts to which the parties agree.

# 4. WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

Page 7 of 18

The following things are not evidence, and yo	u must not consider them	as evidence in deciding the
facts of this case:		

First.	statements	and arg	uments of	f the a	attornevs
1 11 51,	Statements	and ang	umems of	t the t	ittorneys

Second	augstions	and	objections	of the	attornave
Second,	questions	anu	objections	or me	amorneys

# Third, testimony that I instruct you to disregard; and

Fourth,	anything y	ou may	see or h	ear whe	1 the	court	is no	t in	session	even	if what	you	see o	r hear
is done	or said by	one of th	ne partie	s or by o	ne o	f the	witne	sses.						

Northern District of California United States District Court

# 5. DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, it is proof of one or more facts from which one can find another fact.

You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. Either can be used to prove any fact. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night. However, other evidence, such as a turnedon garden hose, may provide an explanation for the water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proven by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, experience, and common sense.

# 6. RULING ON OBJECTIONS

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received in evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit in evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, or the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer would have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

7.	<b>CREDIBIL</b>	ITY OF	WITNE	SSES
----	-----------------	--------	-------	------

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

First, the witness's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things testified to;

Second, the witness's memory;

Third, the witness's manner while testifying;

Fourth, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any;

Fifth, the witness's bias or prejudice, if any;

Sixth, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;

Seventh, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and

Eighth, any other factors that bear on believability.

Sometimes a witness may say something that is not consistent with something else he or she said. Sometimes different witnesses will give different versions of what happened. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember. Also, two people may see the same event but remember it differently. You may consider these differences, but do not decide that testimony is untrue just because it differs from other testimony.

However, if you decide that a witness has deliberately testified untruthfully about
something important, you may choose not to believe anything that witness said. On the other
hand, if you think the witness testified untruthfully about some things but told the truth about
others, you may accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

You must avoid bias, conscious or unconscious, based on a witness's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, or economic circumstances in your determination of credibility.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are, and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

# 8. CONDUCT OF THE JURY

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise:

Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This restriction includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, tablet, or computer, or any other means, via email, via text messaging, or any Internet chat room, blog, website or application, including but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, X, Instagram, LinkedIn, Snapchat, TikTok, TruthSocial, or any other forms of social media. This restriction also applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation, and it applies to communicating with everyone else including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case, and how long you expect the trial to last. But, if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter. In addition, you must report the contact to the court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

any other way try to learn about the case on your own. Do not visit or view any place discussed in this case, and do not use the Internet or any other resource to search for or view any place discussed during the trial. Also, do not do any research about this case, the law, or the people involved—including the parties, the witnesses or the lawyers—until you have been excused as jurors. If you happen to read or hear anything touching on this case in the media, turn away and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have this case decided only on evidence that has been presented here in court. Witnesses here in court take an oath to tell the truth, and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process. If you do any research or investigation outside the courtroom, or gain any information through improper communications, then your verdict may be influenced by inaccurate, incomplete, or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process. Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and if you decide the case based on information not presented in court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial. Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules, and it is very important that you follow these rules.

A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the court immediately.

Λ	NIO	TRANSCRIPT	AXTATT	A DI D	TO	HIDV
9.	NU	IRANSURIFI	AVAIL	ADLE		JUKY

At the end of the trial, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the
evidence. You will not have a written transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to
the testimony as it is given.

# Northern District of California United States District Court

10	ר ו	ГΑ	K	IN	G	N	റ	$\Gamma \mathbf{E}_i$	S

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you from being attentive. When you leave court for recesses, your notes should be left in the jury room. No one will read your notes.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

# 11. QUESTIONS TO WITNESSES BY JURORS DURING TRIAL

Only the lawyers and I are allowed to ask questions of witnesses. A juror is not permitted
to ask questions of witnesses. If, however, you are unable to hear a witness or a lawyer, please
raise your hand and I will correct the situation.

# 12. BENCH CONFERENCES AND RECESSES

During the trial, I may need to take up legal matters with the attorneys privately, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or what your verdict should be.

1	3.	O	U	$\Pi$	$\Pi$	١E	O	$\mathbf{F}$	$\Gamma R$	lAl	

The next phase of the trial will now begin. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement.

The government will then present evidence and counsel for the defendant may crossexamine. Then, if the defendant chooses to offer evidence, counsel for the government may crossexamine.

After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law that applies to the case and the attorneys will make closing arguments.

After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.